

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,362	11/19/2003	John L. Jorstad	036390-0102	3776
22428 7:	590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			TRAN, LEN	
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		1725	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				[w			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ĺ			
Office Action Summary		10/715,362	JORSTAD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Len Tran	1725	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	ctober 2005.					
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian sa avisamant					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau		od.				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mehrabian et al (US 3,951,651)*, in view of Shibata et al (US '075) and further in view of Apelain et al (US 4,902,475).

Mehrabian et al disclose a method of making semi solid metal by combining a first solid metal portion and a separate <u>second only liquid metal</u> portion (abstract).

Shibata et al disclose an injection molding step for casting a semi-solid metal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to use the injection molding apparatus of Shibata et al, in Mehrabian in order to cast the semi-solid metal.

Mehrabian et al and Shibata et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fails to teach providing a refining grain agent into the shot chamber before the liquid metal and the metal comprise of A390 or A356.

However, Apelain et al disclose providing a grain refiner, such as phosphorous, for using a hypereutectic alloy (col. 2, lines 37-47). For hypoeutectic, a grain refiner, such as boron is used (col. 1, lines 39-49). The metals are A390 or A356 (Col. 1, lines 30-36). The grain refiners are used to expedite a fine grain microstructure (col. 1, lines 45-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to use grain refiners, such as phosphorus and boron, for A390 and A356 as taught by Apelain et al, in Mehrabian et al and Shibata et al in order to expedite fine grain microstructure.

In addition, it is inherent to have the slurry temperature for A390 and A356 is between 560 and 590 degrees C and 575 and 585 degrees C, respectively, since these temperatures are within the semi-solid phase.

4. Claims 3, 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehrabian et al (US '298) and Shibata et al (US '075) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakao et al (US 6,505,670).

Mehrabian et al and Shibata et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach providing a first solid portion before the second liquid portion in the shot chamber and removing a third portion form the molded part and put in the first chamber.

However, Nakao et al disclose the method of removing the third portion from the molded part as solid and put in the first chamber, then pour the liquid metal over the solid portion (col. 8, lines 54-col. 9, line 46) for the purpose of saving heat energy.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Nakao et al, pouring the liquid metal over the solid metal, with Shibata et al in order to save heat energy resulting in saving costs.

In addition, it is obvious to have surface area to volume ratio great than 10:1 and horizontal width at least two times greater than vertical depth, since that would depends on the final cast product.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Mehrabian et al (US '298) fail to teach a liquid only portion However, new prior art, Mehrabian et al (US '651) disclose the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,362

Art Unit: 1725

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

December 21, 2005